

2

3

4

5

6

-9

8

10

12

14

1516

17

18 19

20

22

23

21

24

25

27

28

Ford Greene, Car No. 107601 HUB LAW OFFICES 711 Sir Francis Drake Boulevard San Anselmo, California 94960-1949

Telephone: (415) 258-0360 Telecopier: (415) 456-5318

Attorney for: GERALD ARMSTRONG



SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF MARIN

CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY

INTERNATIONAL,

Plaintiff,

VS.

GERALD ARMSTRONG,

Defendant

No. 157 680

ARMSTRONG'S APPENDIX OF OUT OF STATE AUTHORITIES RE: MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY ADJUDICATION

Date: December 1, 1995

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Dept: One (1)

RECEIVED

NOV 2 2 1995

HUB LAW OFFICES

Defendant Gerald Armstrong hereby submits his Appendix of Out Of State Authorities in support both of his Motion for Reconsideration and Opposition to Motion for Summary Adjudication, all of which are set for hearing on December 1, 1995 at 9:00 a.m. before the Honorable Gary W. Thomas, Department One of the above entitled Court.

- 1. Barris Industries, Inc. v. Worldvision Enterprises, Inc. (9th Cir. 1989) 875 F.2d 1446
- 2. United States v. Zolin (1989) 109 S.Ct. 2619, 105 L.Ed.2d 469.
- 3. United States v. Zolin (9th Cir. 1987) 809 F.2d 1411.
- 4. United States v. Zolin (1990) 905 F.2d 1344.
- 5. Church of Scientology v. Commissioner (1984) 83 U.S. Tax Ct. Rpts. 381.
- 6. United States v. Hubbard (D.C. 1979) 474 F.Supp. 64.
- 7. Whitney v. California (1927) 274 U.S. 357.
- 8. Palko v. Connecticut (1937) 302 U.S. 319.

- 1		
1	9.	Citizen Publishing Co. V. United States (1969) 394 U.S. 131
2	10.	Eisenstadt v. Baird (1972) 405 U.S. 438.
3	11.	Police Department v. Mosley (1972) 408 U.S. 92.
4	12.	Widmar v. Vincent (1981) 454 U.S. 263.
5	13.	Cantwell v. Connecticut (1940) 310 U.S. 296.
6	14.	West Virginia State Board of Education v. Barnette (1943) 319 U.S. 624.
7	15.	Shelley v. Kraemer (1948) 334 U.S. 1.
8	16.	Lemon v. Kurtzman (1971) 403 U.S. 602.
9	17.	Edwards v. Aguillard (1987) 482 U.S. 578.
10	18.	United Christian Scientists v. First Church of Christ (1987) 829 F.2d 1152.
11	19.	United States v. Kattar (1st Cir. 1988) 840 F.2d 118
12		
13	DATED:	November 16, 1995 HUB LAW OFFICES
14		
15	-	
16		By: Ford Greene, Esq.
17		Attorney for Defendant GERALD ARMSTRONG
18		
19		
20		
21		

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am employed in the County of Marin, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen years and am not a party to the above entitled action. My business address is 711 Sir Francis Drake Boulevard, San Anselmo, California. I served the following documents:

ARMSTRONG'S APPENDIX OF OUT OF STATE AUTHORITIES RE: MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY ADJUDICATION on the following person(s) on the date set forth below, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid to be placed in the United States Mail at San Anselmo, California:

Andrew Wilson, Esquire WILSON, RYAN & CAMPILONGO 235 Montgomery Street, Suite 450 San Francisco, California 94104

LAURIE J. BARTILSON, ESQ. Bowles & Moxon 6255 Sunset Boulevard Suite 2000 Los Angeles, California 90028

[] (Personal Service)

I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to the offices of the

addressee.

[X] (By Mail)

I caused such envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid to be

placed in the United States Mail at San Anselmo, California.

[X] (State)

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of

California that the above is true and correct.

DATED:

November 21, 1995

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

,14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2526

27

28